
identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

Office of Administrative Appeals MS 2090 Washington, DC 20529-2090

JUL **3 0** 2009





FILE: Office: NEW YORK Date: 1111

MSC 06 046 10752

Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Status as a Temporary Resident pursuant to Section 245A of the

Immigration and Nationality Act, as amended, 8 U.S.C. § 1255a

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:

INSTRUCTIONS:

IN RE:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. If your appeal was dismissed or rejected, all documents have been returned to the National Benefits Center. You no longer have a case pending before this office, and you are not entitled to file a motion to reopen or reconsider your case. If your appeal was sustained or remanded for further action, you will be contacted.

John F. Grissom

Acting Chief, Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The application for temporary resident status pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreements reached in *Catholic Social Services, Inc., et al., v. Ridge, et al., CIV.* NO. S-86-1343-LKK (E.D. Cal) January 23, 2004, and *Felicity Mary Newman, et al., v. United States Immigration and Citizenship Services, et al., CIV.* NO. 87-4757-WDK (C.D. Cal) February 17, 2004 (CSS/Newman Settlement Agreements), was denied by the Director, New York. The decision is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The applicant submitted a Form I-687, Application for Status as a Temporary Resident under Section 245A of the Immigration and Nationality Act, and a Form I-687 Supplement, CSS/Newman (LULAC) Class Membership Worksheet. The director denied the application because the applicant did not establish that he continuously resided in the United States for the duration of the requisite period.

On appeal, the applicant states the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services director improperly denied his case since he has valid documents such as a Form I-95A, Crewman's Landing Permit, and a valid document from the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles. He resubmits a copy of a Form I-95A and a Notice of Appearance from the New York State Department of Motor Vehicles dated July 8, 2004 certifying that the applicant had replied to a traffic ticket for a violation on October 7, 1987.

As stated in 8 C.F.R. § 103.3(a)(3)(iv), any appeal which is filed that fails to state the reason for appeal, or is patently frivolous, will be summarily dismissed.

A review of the decision reveals that the director accurately set forth a legitimate basis for the denial of the application. On appeal, the applicant has not addressed the grounds stated for denial, nor has he presented additional evidence. The appeal shall therefore be summarily dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed. This decision constitutes a final notice of ineligibility.